

NAR06GRANT-074

Interim narrative June 1, 2007—December 31, 2007

Briefly discuss the activities and objectives of your project. This proposal seeks to extend implementation of the recommendations of a 2003 NHPRC Archival Research Fellowship (to streamline processing of archives and manuscripts collections) and the highest priorities of the repository's strategic planning (rationalizing and providing faster and better intellectual access to its collections). In 2003, D. Claudia Thompson, AHC Processing Manager, estimated that of the 9490 collections (87,000 cubic feet) 1600 collections (17%) were absolutely unprocessed. The backlog also consisted of unprocessed manuscript collections lacking access points *on-line*, which was estimated at approximately 80% of the number of collections (5,600 of 7,000).¹

The proposal had three parts. **First**, a temporary, faculty-level archivist on a one-year contract (which is an extension of previous two-year contract under 2005-057) would create catalog records for 200 collections per year and, once all collections are cataloged, to focus on marking up existing finding aids for processed collections or minimally processing collections and creating new finding aids in EAD. The purpose of this part is to take a major step toward implementing the basic tenets of the research fellowship study—that it is more important to do very basic descriptive work on a large number of collections (and a large quantity of material) than to do the best work possible on a far smaller number (and quantity) of material.

The **second** step in the work plan was squarely on the process of focusing the AHC's collections. Based on the recommendations of internal task forces analyzing major AHC collecting areas, a prioritized list of collections to be deaccessioned would be created by the project director, and two grant-funded archives specialists (technically, academic professionals) will be hired to assist in the process of formally reviewing, deaccessioning, and disposing of the collections (one of these two positions is an extension of a position funded under 2005-057). The **third** step in the work plan is to have the project archivist and archives specialists collaborate on two major survey projects: one of researchers to gauge their reactions to minimal processing; the other of repositories to which we have deaccessioned collections to determine the impact on their processing and programs. Finally, we plan to create a portal to lead interested archivists to all accessible papers, powerpoints, and other material relating to minimal processing projects around the nation.

The first and most important product of the grant is on-line catalog records for *all* AHC collections assessed as permanent now without them. This will result in a dramatic increase in the information about the AHC's holdings accessible in the web catalog. The catalog records are submitted to OCLC, and from there loaded monthly into the campus Endeavor catalog (<http://ahc.uwyo.edu/usearchives/catalog.htm>). The second goal is to create on-line accessible EAD-encoded box lists for at least 200 collections. These first two steps represent a strong step forward in the AHC's strategic planning goal of reducing its backlog and improving access to all its holdings. Tangentially these two steps also begin to address the AHC's highest planning priority, that of refining its collections, by emphasizing collecting areas that have already undergone intensive review.

Third, this project will permit the AHC to deaccession between 100 and 150 collections each during the second and third years, removing that many collections from the processing backlog. More importantly, based on progress to date, 60% of these collections should find a welcome home at another repository, dramatically increasing the likelihood of their being made accessible and visible to researchers. Fourth, the results of the two surveys will provide important information about researcher opinions about minimal processing and repository opinions of and actions in response to our deaccessioning project. Results of these surveys will be submitted as articles to an archival journal. Fifth, the project director will publicize the purpose, process, and results of the grant to the archival profession as an example of how a relatively small infusion of outside funds, coupled with strong institutional planning and a fulfillment of professional rhetoric that archivists preserve collections *for access by patrons*, can make a substantial improvement in reducing backlogs and serving researchers.

¹ IThompson presented the history of the AHC backlog in, "What Is Processed?" *International Council on Archives/Section on University and Research Institution Archives [ICA/SUV] 2005 Seminar Proceedings* (East Lansing, Michigan), pp. 119-25.

Include a summary of project activities undertaken during the reporting period. Indicate whether the project is proceeding on schedule, or if there is a need to revise the work plan, staffing pattern, or budget. This is an extension of grant 2005-057, and because the two grants have the same purpose using the same personnel, and because we originally envisioned (and reality has dictated) the two grants running consecutively rather than concurrently, it is difficult to isolate the accomplishments of NAR06GRANT-074 from those of 2005-057. (The major influence of “reality” was the need to replace the project archivist, which extended the time she will be paid from the first grant.) However, the second archives specialist has been working under the second grant since July, and the first archives specialist has been working under the second grant since October.

Both have been focused primarily on reviewing material for deaccessioning, and if deaccessioned, contacting donors or repositories whichever is necessary according to ownership status. Laura Uglean, the second archives specialist, has reviewed 76 collections between July 1 and December 31, 2007, of which 57 were deaccessioned, 16 were cataloged, and three were deferred for further review. Rory Telander, the first archives specialist, reviewed 246 collections from October 1, 2006 through December 31, 2007 (this includes some time spent on grant 1), of which 200 were deaccessioned, 37 were cataloged, and 9 were deferred for further review. Overall, 89% of deaccessioned collections find new homes in other repositories (these include collections first offered back to donors where either the donor was not locatable or the donor agreed to the transfer). A list of repositories to which collections have been donated, or transferred with the donor’s consent, is appended to this report. Those that are instead kept and cataloged have records entered into OCLC and our OPAC, Voyager.

In addition, Rory and Laura, along with permanent archivist Shannon Bowen, have been developing two surveys. The first is of repositories that have received deaccessioned collections. In early December we mailed a test group of ten surveys to random repositories, and will use their responses to modify, if necessary, the letter and survey before sending to every repository that has received one or more of our deaccessioned collections. The cover letter and survey are appended to this report. The second survey is targeted to users of archives, to gauge their response to minimal processing and in particular the cataloging of unprocessed collections. The survey is built on the one used in Greene and Meissner, “More Product, Less Process,” but has been refined and will be placed before a much larger set of users. Specifically, we plan to place this survey on a handful of lists within H-Net, and to have more repositories than just the AHC and the Minnesota Historical Society administer the survey to their users. While the results of neither survey will be scientific, they will both provide concrete evidence of whether one repository’s deaccessioning program has any effect on other repositories and whether users agree that having minimal intellectual access to many collections is preferable to having detailed intellectual access to fewer collections.

Record of accomplishments. Analyze in detail the goals met during the reporting period. Note any goals for the period that were not accomplished. Also, note significant developments, such as the publication of volumes or the completion of finding aids. For the most part, accomplishments are listed above. However, some additional items merit note. Based on a notice published in the SAA Manuscripts Repository Section newsletter, Princeton University has joined the AHC in having cataloged the entirety of its collections; so far as we are aware, these are the only two major repositories that have achieved this goal. Additional steps have been taken to disseminate news of this project. Long notices were published in the Manuscripts Repository Section, Reference/Access/Outreach Section, and Description Section newsletters of SAA—newsletters read by 2,000 members. An additional notice, regarding the deaccessioning process particularly, was submitted to the SAA Acquisition and Appraisal newsletter, read by another 335 archivists. The former project archivist organized and participated in an SAA 2007 program session on privacy issues raised by minimal processing; Shannon Bowen organized and participated in an SAA 2007 program session on the reference implications of minimal processing—particularly the AHC experience with mass cataloging of unprocessed collections.

Project directors are encouraged to offer frank assessments of their projects. Were the goals set in the original proposal realistic? If not, what alternative goals might have been accomplished during the grant period? The deaccessioning portion of the project, which is all that has received attention so far under this grant, is proceeding according to expectations regarding numbers of collections reviewed. We have prioritized collections of 10 cubic feet or greater for the first pass, giving us the most “bang for the buck” in terms of shelf space reclaimed. However, the total number of collections to be reviewed is much larger than envisioned in the original grant application, so the percentage achievement will be much lower. We have, however, begun to develop a process for continuing, at a slower pace, deaccessioning once the grant is completed.

Respectfully submitted
Mark A. Greene, Director
American Heritage Center

Cover Letter for Repository Survey

December 7, 2007

Patrick Quinn
University Archives
1970 Campus Drive
Evanston, IL 60208-2300

Dear Mr. Quinn,

Recently, you received the Hal Higdon and the Justin Dart Collections from the University of Wyoming's American Heritage Center (AHC). This collection was one of more than 250 collections reappraised and deaccessioned as part of an NHPRC-funded project to (among other things) quickly reduce our backlog. The project supports assessment of all of our backlogged collections, the cataloging and minimal processing of those to be retained, and the reappraisal and deaccessioning of those that no longer fit the AHC collecting scope (a scope that has been thoroughly refined over the past five years). Finding better-suited repositories for collections such as this one has not only allowed the AHC to significantly reduce the size of its backlog, it has—we hope—permitted the collections to be made more visible and useful in their new homes. In order to gauge the value of this approach, we are distributing a survey and would appreciate your assistance. Please complete separate questionnaires for each collection accepted from the AHC.

The results of this survey will be used to make recommendations to NHPRC and the profession about deaccessioning as a collection management tool. We hope to determine whether the deaccessioning process is providing a level of benefit to the receiving institutions commensurate with the effort it takes the AHC to locate appropriate repositories, whether the transaction has implications for the receiving institution beyond the simple addition of a new collection, and the process by which the deaccessioned collections are finding their way into the hands of researchers. We realize that every request to complete a survey represents a potential burden on an already overtaxed staff, and we sincerely appreciate your willingness to assist us with this project.

On behalf of the AHC, I would like to thank you once more for accepting the Hal Higdon and Justin Dart Collections. Please express your honest opinions about your experience with this project in the survey.

With Best Regards,

Mark A. Greene
Director, American Heritage Center
University of Wyoming
1000 E. University Ave
Dept. 3924
Laramie, WY 82071-3924
307/766-2474

Repository Survey

NHPRC Deaccessioning Project Survey

The purpose of this survey is to determine the status of deaccessioned collections transferred by the American Heritage Center to other repositories. It is hoped that these collections are finding their way to more appropriate homes and are being used by researchers. We will be reporting the collective data as part of our grant evaluation process, but no institution/individual specific information will be shared.

Name of Transferred Collection _____

Size of Collection _____

1. Name of Your Repository _____

Contact Person _____

Contact Phone _____ Contact e-mail _____

2. Type of Your Repository:

___ Federal archives or library

___ Independent research library

___ State archives or historical society

___ County or local govt. archives or historical society

___ College or university library/archive

___ Public library

___ Independent museum

___ Other (specify) _____

3. Total of your archives and/or manuscripts holdings (in linear or cubic feet): _____

4. Were you surprised to learn the AHC was conducting a large scale deaccessioning project?

Yes ___ No ___

Comments _____

5. Did the shipping company deliver the material in good condition?

Yes ___ No ___ Somewhat ___

Comments _____

6. Was the material in the collection what you expected?

Yes ___ No ___ Somewhat ___

Comments _____

7. Will the collection be useful to your patrons and/or your community?

Yes ____ No ____ Somewhat ____

Comments _____

8. Has the material been: (Check as many as needed)

____ Processed ____ Displayed ____ Cataloged

____ Digitized ____ EAD Record ____ In Backlog

____ Discarded ____ Transferred

Comments _____

9. If it has not been processed or cataloged, how long do you envision it will take to complete?

Time to Process _____ Time to Catalog _____

10. Has the collection been used or viewed by patrons? If so, how many times?

Yes ____ No ____

Comments _____

11. Has the overall transfer process, from initial contact to arrival of the material, been positive?

Yes ____ No ____ Somewhat ____

Comments _____

12. Have you done any publicity about the collection?

Yes ____ No ____ If so, may we have a press release?

Comments _____

13. How does this collection rate on a scale of importance to your holdings?

5 (very significant) ____ 4 (quite significant) ____ 3 (significant) ____

2 (somewhat significant) ____ 1 (marginally significant) ____

Comments _____

14. How has your board or senior administration reacted to accepting this collection?

Positively ____ Negatively ____ No Reaction ____

Comments _____

15. In relation to your holdings, how would you classify this collection?

Large ____ Medium ____ Small ____

Comments _____

16. Was the size of this collection a considerable factor in your decision to accept it?

Yes ____ No ____ Somewhat ____

Comments _____

17. Did you know the AHC had this collection?

Yes ____ No ____

Comments _____

18. Has receiving a deaccessioned collection from the AHC caused your institution to change their approach to deaccessioning?

Yes ____ No ____

Comments _____

19. Since receiving the collection, have you deaccessioned any collections?

Yes ____ No ____

Comments _____

Please add any additional comments about the process.

Thank you for your assistance with this project. Please return the survey to:

Mark A. Greene
Director
American Heritage Center
Department 3924
1000 E. University Avenue
Laramie, WY 82071

Minimal Processing User Satisfaction Survey

As part of a larger project generously funded by NHPRC, the American Heritage Center (AHC) is seeking to gauge user satisfaction with new processing techniques being implemented at AHC and across the country. Your participation in this survey will help us to determine the effectiveness of those techniques from the patron's perspective and in a very real way will affect how they are applied in the future. Participation is entirely voluntary, and no information related to your identity will be recorded. Thank you very much for your consideration.

Please answer the following questions.

Please indicate the status that best describes you.

k-12 student undergraduate student graduate student

university faculty business general public

university staff teacher writer

other: _____

Please indicate how you have used your research in archives. Check all that apply.

school project thesis/dissertation scholarly publication

popular publication commercial work for hire exhibit

documentary family history personal interest

other: _____

How many times have you used any archives for research?

1-3 times 4-6 times 7-9 times 10 times or more

In how many archives have you conducted research?

1 2-5 6-10 11 or more

Please rank, in order of importance, the resources that are most useful to you when conducting primary source research.

online catalog records card catalogs collection inventories

reference archivists topical collection guides

_____web searches _____bibliographies
_____digitized collection material

Have you ever had difficulty interpreting a catalog record or a collection inventory?

_____yes _____no

What kinds of collection inventories have you used? Please check all that apply.

_____item lists _____folder lists _____box lists _____series descriptions
_____collection-level descriptions _____I don't know.

Which types of collection inventories have you found most useful? Please check all that apply.

_____item lists _____folder lists _____box lists _____series descriptions
_____collection-level descriptions _____I don't know.

Why?

Have you ever been denied access to a collection because it was not "processed?"

_____yes _____no _____I don't know.

Have you ever conducted research in an "unprocessed" collection?

_____yes _____no _____I don't know.

Which is more important when conducting archival research: detailed organization of collection contents or detailed description of collection contents?

_____detailed organization _____detailed description
_____Both are equally important. _____Neither is very important.
_____I don't know.

Please rank the following archival priorities, with '1' being most important:

_____ Putting more resources into digitizing collection material and putting it on the web, even if it means that fewer collections will be available for research.

_____ Putting more resources into creating basic descriptions for all collections, even though some of those collections may never have more detailed inventories written for them.

_____ Putting more resources into acquiring new collections, even if it means slowing the pace at which collections are made available for research.

_____ Putting more resources into re-foldering and re-boxing collection material, pulling staples, and photocopying high-acid papers, even it means slowing the pace at which collections are made available for research.

_____ Putting more resources into the creation of detailed collection inventories on the web, even if it means slowing the pace at which collections are made available for research.

_____ Putting more resources into creating basic collection inventories that lack introductory information and organization of the collection's contents if it means that collections will be made available more rapidly.

_____ Putting more resources into pulling duplicates and ancillary printed material from collections, so that the valuable material is easier to find, even if it means slowing the pace at which collections are made available for research.

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.

I would be happy with less detail in collection inventories if it meant that more collections would be available for researchers.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

I depend on the information in collection inventories to identify material relevant to my research.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

I depend on online catalog records to identify material relevant to my research.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

I depend on published citations to identify material relevant to my research.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

I am confident that an archivist can help me find all of the material relevant to my research in a given archival collection.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

I would be happy with less organization of archival collections if it meant that more collections would be available for researchers.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

I am confident in my ability to find material relevant to my research in archival collections, even when the collections I am using are not well-organized.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

Limiting the removal of metal fasteners, photocopying of high acid papers, sleeving of photographs, and re-folding of collection material would be acceptable to me if it meant that more collections would be available to researchers.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

When I encounter collections at an archives that are not neatly filed in new boxes, I doubt that archives' commitment to its collections.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

An archivist will most likely be able to find any item that I cannot locate within an archival collection.

Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree

Please take a moment to answer the following question.

At what repository have you had the most useful and positive experience? Why?

Additional comments:

Please return this survey to Shannon Bowen at
<mailto://sebowen@uwyo.edu/>
or
UW- American Heritage Center
Dept. 3924
1000 East University Ave.
Laramie, WY 82071.

Repositories Receiving Deaccessioned Collections, 10/06-12/07

Collection Title	Accession Number	Cubic Footage	Deaccession Date	Shipping Date	Shipped To
Wyoming State Society DAR Research Center	7515	.20	10/05/06	04/05/07	DAR Jacque Laramie Chapter
Roy F. Jones	8917	.25	01/11/07	05/03/07	Alaska State Library, Historical Collections
Lucy Kavalier	8916	1.30	01/18/07	06/26/07	Anderson Library, Children's Literature Research Collections
Helen Detwiler Robbins	8359		02/08/07		Beitel Elementary School, Laramie, Wyoming
Heidi Hall Jones	8432		03/08/07		Beitel Elementary School, Laramie, Wyoming
Robert Carson*	8324	.45	06/25/07	06/28/07	Boston University, Howard Gottlieb Archival Research Center
Norah Lofts	8955	0.45	07/12/07	08/24/07	Boston University, Howard Gottlieb Archival Research Center
Nils G. Jerlov	8202	.1	02/01/07	03/01/07	Brinkerhoff Institute
Edward L. Hyman	8911	1.0	06/06/07	12/14/07	Brooklyn Historical Society
Theodore Cortlandt Williams	8837	.48	05/24/07	08/20/07	Bucknell University, Bertrand Library, Special Collections/University Archives
George E. Ericksen	8785	2.70	11/16/06	07/06/07	Butte-Silver Bow Public Archives
Charles Adam Prince	8914	.10	06/06/07	07/31/07	Charles Van Schalscha, Jr. (great-grandson of donor)
Chester M. Brown	8382	1.45	02/22/07	04/11/07	Chemical Heritage Foundation
Mildred Sandison	9100	10.50	08/09/07	10/18/07	Circus World Museum
Fenner Reid					
H. Wolcott Fenner	4718	0.90	08/16/07	10/18/07	Circus World Museum
Philip Morris	8834		12/14/06	03/02/07	Coe Library, University of Wyoming
Crown Publishers	11519		12/19/06	03/02/07	Coe Library, University of Wyoming
Bruce R. Sievers	8394		02/22/07	03/02/07	Coe Library, University of Wyoming
Charles Dixon Clarke	5422	3.0	10/12/06	01/19/07	Colorado School of Mines Arthur Lakes Library
Harold M. Thorne	8416	1.0	03/08/07	05/14/07	Colorado School of Mines Arthur Lakes Library
Orman Patterson Dolph	8987	1.20	07/26/07	10/11/07	Colorado School of Mines
Robert D. Hoffman	10913	17.0	03/08/07	12/11/07	Colorado School of Mines Library
V.A. Brussolo	8959	3.00	07/19/07	12/11/07	Colorado School of Mines Library
Irwin D. Hoffman	6561	18.80	03/08/07	10/31/07	Colorado School of Mines, Library
John E. Morrill	8748	2.0	11/16/06	05/03/07	Colorado State University, Morgan Library
Theodore M. Schad	8415	6.0	03/08/07	08/28/07	Colorado State University, Morgan Library
Columbus News	8467	3.68	03/22/07	12/11/07	Columbus High School, Columbus NE

Donald I. Rogers	8478	3.0	03/29/07	07/31/07	Connecticut Historical Society Museum
Hal Lehrman	5742	48.0	10/19/06	02/01/07	Cornell University Carl A. Kroch Library Division of Rare and Manuscript Collections
Dr. J. Fowles	10867	2.0	04/26/07	05/15/07	Dr. Jib Fowles (Donor)
Ruder, Finn & Rotman	8691	.25	05/03/07	06/27/07	Duke University, Hartman Center for Sales, Advertising & Marketing History
Everett R. Jones	8317	2.0	10/19/06	02/22/07	Everett R. Jones (Donor)
Hillsboro Conservation Commission	5932	.45	11/09/06	11/27/06	Ezekiel W. Diamond Library Special Collections
Arthur Edwards	8776		11/16/06	02/28/07	Farm And Ranch Museum
Magnus Klattenhoff	4145		02/08/07	02/28/07	Farm And Ranch Museum
Royale Hillman Stevens	8645	.10	04/26/07	10/24/07	Ferris State University, Special Collections
Gordon D. Fox	8693	18.0	11/02/06	12/14/06	Forest History Society, Inc.
Ralph T. Kerchum	9946	109.92	10/04/07	10/30/07	Forney Museum of Transportation
L.E. Broadstreet	9014	0.25	08/02/07	10/02/07	Forney Transportation Museum
Cyril Childe	8977	128.08	08/09/07	10/02/07	Forney Transportation Museum
Colorado-Ute Company	8376	.10	02/08/07	05/11/07	Fort Lewis College, Center of Southwest Studies
Irvine "Cotton" Warburton	8351	.10	02/08/07	04/10/07	Gary Warburton (relative of donor)
Henry C. Barksdale	8130	4.0	02/01/07	07/02/07	Geological Survey of Alabama, Library and Geologic Investigations Program
Joseph E. Reeve	8890	3.0	05/31/07	12/14/07	Harry S. Truman Library
Charlotte Jones	8809	1.0	01/25/07	05/23/07	Harvard Theatre Collection, Houghton Library, Harvard University.
Heath Meriwether	8851	.1	12/14/06	02/28/07	Heath Meriwether (Donor)
William J. O'Dwyer	8947	.20	06/21/07	09/14/07	Hermann-Oberth-Raumfahrt- Museum e.V., Germany
Horace Sutton	2592	67.07	10/19/06	04/25/07	Hofstra University, Library Services/Archives
Lynwood Carranco	8928	1.0	06/21/07	09/05/07	Humboldt State University, Special Collections
John Albok	8812	.65	12/14/06	02/07/07	Iлона Albok Vitarius (Donor's heir)
Walter E. Barton	8196	.45	02/01/07	05/14/07	Indiana State Library
Abraham Lincoln Fellows	8499	.30	03/29/07	06/06/07	Jean Settles (Donor)
Charles B. Hunt	8658	11.0	04/26/07	06/21/07	Jeanette Hunt (relative of donor)
Iwanne Pantazos	8464	1.58	03/22/07	05/25/07	Jody Allison (Granddaughter)
Norbert Pearlroth	8733	.45	11/09/06	03/15/07	Jonathon Pearlroth (Donor's Heir)
Millard C. George	8418	.10	03/08/07	07/13/07	Kansas State Historical Association, Library Section
Louis R. Morony	8891	4.0	06/06/07	09/13/07	Kettering University, Scharachburg Archives
George Duke	510000	.93	07/02/07	07/03/07	Laurie Strauss (relative of donor)

Humphrey*					
William B. Stephenson	8474	1.10	03/22/07	09/04/07	Linda Hall Library
Frank A. Cappiello	8539	.45	04/05/07	09/04/07	Loyola Notre Dame Library
Geoffrey W. Stirling	8593	.70	04/12/07	08/06/07	Michigan State University Libraries, Special Collections Division
Cecelia Gaul Kings	8451	.10	03/15/07	06/12/07	MonDak Heritage Center
Thomas Cruse	8747	.92	05/17/07	07/24/07	Montana Historical Society Archives
Doug Giebel	8910	.38	01/25/07	7/16/07	Montana Research Center
Harley de Lange	6957	0.10	10/11/07	12/13/07	Montana State Historical Society
Warren Magee	8897	78.20	11/30/06	12/18/06	National Library of Medicine, History of Medicine Division
Max Cutler	8453	4.09	03/15/07	05/11/07	National Library of Medicine, History of Medicine Division
Hayla Ekstrom Smith	8821	.10	01/25/07	05/03/07	Newell Museum
Walter G. Schweitzer	8781	10.0	05/17/07	09/28/07	NIST
H. Arnold Karo	9336	12.52	08/23/07	11/9/07	NOAA Central Library
Justin Dart	8711	28.42	11/09/06	04/05/07	Northwestern University University Archives
Hal Higdon	8362		10/19/06	11/30/06	Northwestern University Archives
Harold Rorschach	8601	2.95	11/02/06	12/14/06	Oklahoma History Center Research Center
George E. and Hazel V. McCadden	8555	.20	04/12/07	05/30/07	Oregon State University University Archives, The Valley Library
Selma Pezaro	11551	6.45	03/15/07	05/31/07	Orion Emelio (per donor's instructions)
Robert E. Cummings	8406	2.0	02/22/07	08/01/07	OZ Museum
Edward A. Sargoy	8400	1.0	02/22/07	06/05/07	Paul Sheinbaum
George J. Pokorny	8368	2.0	02/08/07	05/11/07	Pieter Fockens (relative of donor)
Harold B. Hoskins	10152	12.25	09/27/07	11/9/07	Princeton University, Seeley G. Mudd Manuscript Library
Clement A. Ducy	8423	8.0	03/08/07	06/18/07	Pueblo County Historical Society
Edward J. Drake	8644	.10	04/19/07	07/05/07	Returned to Donor
Emery L. Will	8666	4.0	04/26/07	07/09/07	Returned to Donor
Joseph T. Shaw	8787	.20	05/17/07	07/20/07	Returned to Donor
John McDaniel	8799	.10	05/17/07	07/24/07	Returned to Donor
Daniel W. Gotshall	8875	1.0	05/31/07	08/10/07	Returned to Donor
Bill O'Neal*	8361	1.0	07/16/07	07/17/07	Returned to Donor
Maynard K. Head	8833	2.0	05/24/07	11/12/07	Returned to Relative of Donor
John Beal	9025	0.25	08/02/07	11/30/07	San Francisco Performing Arts Library & Museum
Victoria Booth Demarest	8921	2.0	01/04/07	03/12/07	Schlesinger Library, Radcliffe Institute
Philip Nott Ober	8441	.20	03/15/07	06/20-07	Seeley G. Mudd Manuscript Library Princeton University
C.E. Graham Reeves	8633	.10	04/19/07	08/24/07	South Carolina Historical Society
South Dakota Wildlife Federation	5210	.25	11/30/06	12/13/06	South Dakota Historical Society, State Archives
Elvin C. Bjorklund	9031	0.45	08/02/07	10/11/07	South Dakota State University, H. N. Briggs Library
John Whiting Brainerd	8595	1.0	04/12/07	06/07/07	Springfield College
Karl Von Wiegand	8974	0.10	07/19/07	09/27/07	Stanford University, Hoover

William H. Callahan	9854	11.00	09/20/07	11/21/07	Institution Archives
Hyman Isaac Feldman	8366	6.51	10/19/06	12/08/06	Sterling Hill Mining Museum
Robert P Joyce	8930	.20	06/21/07	09/13/07	Sterling Memorial Library
Pablo Bush Romero	8706	.45	05/10/07	09/24/07	Manuscripts and Archives
Max C. Eastman	8728	.55	05/10/07	07/10/07	Sterling Memorial Library, Manuscripts and Archives
Richard Mahan	8480		03/29/07		Texas A&M Univer, Institute of Nautical Archaeology
James H. Banville	8886	1.92	11/30/06	3/28/07	The Bancroft Library, UC Berkeley
Gunter Zweig	9508	51.38	08/23/07	11/6/08	Transfer to Coe
John and Magda McHale	9308	168.10	08/09/07	11/28/07	U.S. Army Heritage & Education Center
Allan P. Bennison	8994	0.20	07/26/07	11/12/07	Acquisitions Dept. UC Davis, General Library
Vido Musso	8365	.10	02/08/07	07/20/07	Special Collections
Meredith Burrill	9339	16.00	08/16/07	11/12/17	Uncle Bob's Self Storage
William M. Stoll	8942	11.69	01/11/07	03/22/07	Univ. of Alaska, Anchorage, Consortium Library, Archives & Special Collections
James B. Blasingame	8848	.10	08/24/07	08/24/07	University of North Texas, Willis Library, Music Library
Les Baxter	10389	7.00	04/12/07	08/20/07	University of Alabama, Dept. of Geography
Rosalinde Fuller	8213	7.30	02/01/07	06/14/07 07/19/07	University of Alaska Anchorage Consortium Library
Albert W. Plummer	9910	13.25	09/20/07	11/16/07	University of Alaska, Anchorage, Consortium Library
Alfred R. Golze	8389	2.0	02/22/07	05/02/07	University of Arizona, School of Music
David L. Niddrie	9635	27.00	09/06/07	11/20/07	University of Bristol Theatre Collection and the UW Theatre Department
J. Donald Forrester	8472	1.0	03/29/07	07/24/07	University of California, Berkeley, Water Resources Center Archives
Henry A. Jones	8907	1.10	01/04/07	03/12/07	University of California, Berkeley, Water Resources Center Archives
Arthur F. Kelly	8866	.20	05/31/07	12/14/07	University of Florida, Dept. of Special and Area Studies Collections
D. Michael Harvey	8492	3.18	04/05/07	09/07/07	University of Missouri, Rolla Western Historical Manuscript Collection
J. Bennett Johnston	8929	.10	06/21/07	09/07/07	University of Nebraska, Lincoln Libraries
Ivar Samset	8786	2.0	02/14/07	08/06/07	University of Utah, J. Willard Marriott Library
					University of Washington, Suzzallo/Allen Library
					University of Washington, Suzzallo/Allen Library
					USDA Forest Service, Forest Operations Research

Ray Courtney	8319	1.0	10/19/06	12/13/06	Warhawk Air Museum
Earl Backus	8727	3.0	12/14/06	02/15/07	Warhawk Air Museum
W.G. Ditzen	8413	1.0	01/04/07	03/09/07	Warhawk Air Museum
Erik Meidell	8356	2.0	02/08/07	05/11/07	Warhawk Air Museum
J. Hal Wylie	8386	2.0	03/08/07	05/15/07	Warhawk Air Museum
Glasebrook Foundation	8445	2.48	03/15/07	07/18/07	Warhawk Air Museum
Eugenia A. Boutzila	8494	.10	03/29/07	08/10/07	Warhawk Air Museum
Ray L. Newburn	8655	.25	04/26/07	06/27/07	Western History Genealogy Dept., Denver Public Library
Edith Hinkley Quimby	8690	.20	05/03/07	07/31/07	Whitman College and Northwest Archives, Penrose Library
Frederick Lewis Schuman	7824	40.57	11/06/06	12/15/06	Williams College Archives
Philip J. Rasch	8433	.45	01/25/07	08/01/07	Wyoming Territorial Prison Historical Site
Harlowe Hardinge	8442	.10	03/15/07	07/12/07	York County Heritage Trust, Library

Total Collections Shipped: 132

Total Cubic Feet Shipped: 1053.80